## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

HENRY A. JONES,

CV F 05 307 AWI SMS P

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER DISREGARDING MOTION (Doc. 17.)

MILLIGAN, et. al.,

Defendants.

Henry A. Jones ("Plaintiff") is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil action. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to <u>Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics</u>, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), which provides a remedy for violation of civil rights by federal actors.

Plaintiff filed the instant action on February 24, 2005. On June 29, 2005, Plaintiff filed a pleading he titled "Motion: Citizen Complaint Demand For Jury Trial." In this document, Plaintiff indicates that he filed a complaint against CDC personnel for conspiracy to cover up mail destruction and conspiracy to commit murder and makes a demand for a jury trial. Plaintiff also attaches a copy of the complaint to the Motion. However, other than his demand for a jury trial, it is wholly unclear what the purpose of this Motion is. To the extent the Motion seeks to add new claims and defendants to the action, the Motion would be denied. As a preliminary matter, the events at issue in the Motion occurred after the filing of this action as they occurred on March 3, 2005 and thus, the claims appear to be unexhausted.

## 

| 1  | Thus, before filing an action in the U.S. District Court, a federal prisoner challenging any      |
|----|---|
| 2  | circumstance of imprisonment must first exhaust all administrative remedies. Booth v. Churner,    |
| 3  | 121 S.Ct. 1819 (2001); see also MacCarthy v. Madigan, 503 U.S. 140, 144-145, 112 S.Ct. 1081,      |
| 4  | 1086-87 (1992); Western Radio Services Co. v. Espay, 79 F.3d 896, 899 (9th Cir. 1996);            |
| 5  | Martinez v. Roberts, 804 F.2d 570, 571 (9th Cir. 1986); Chua Han Mow v. United States, 730        |
| 6  | F.2d 1308, 1313 (9th Cir. 1984); <u>Ruviwat v. Smith</u> , 701 F.2d 844, 845 (9th Cir. 1983). The |
| 7  | Bureau of Prisons has established an administrative remedy procedure governing prisoner           |
| 8  | complaints. The procedure is set forth at 28 C.F.R. § 542.10 et. seq. Thus, any amendment of      |
| 9  | the new claims and Defendants to this action would result in their dismissal.                     |
| 10 | Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS:   |
| 11 | 1. The "Motion: Citizen Complaint" is DISREGARDED.  |
| 12 |   |
| 13 | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |
| 14 | Dated: January 19, 2006 icido3 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE                |
| 15 | icido3 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
| 26 |   |
| 27 |   |
| 28 |   |